|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **San Antonio & Bexar County COVID-19 Research Grant** **Scoring Guide** |  |
| **Ratings** | **3 – Satisfied all requirements** | **2 – Satisfied some requirements** | **1 – Does not satisfy requirements** | **Score** |
| **Innovation and Approach** | Project distinguishes itself from others. It is innovative and demonstrates a feasible approach.  | Project is innovative and provides a satisfactory approach. | Project does not deliver innovation and a feasible approach is not presented.  |  |
| **Project Design** | Strong project design with methods and activities that are well defined, fully explained, and link to grant goals. | Adequate project design with methods and activities that are defined but lack detail. Not clearly linked to grant goals. | Project design is vague and not clearly linked to grant goals. |  |
| **Crisis Impact and Response** | Proposal provides full details of how the COVID-19 crisis is impacting local marginalized communities and potential impact of proposed research/response. | Proposal provides some details of how the COVID-19 crisis is impacting local marginalized communities and potential impact of proposed research/response. | Proposal provides limited description of how the COVID-19 crisis is impacting local marginalized populations and potential impact of proposed research/response. |  |
| **Immediacy** | Application shows an immediate need for critical funding to address the COVID-19 crisis. | Application shows a need for funding, but the need is less-immediate and/or indirectly related to the COVID-19 crisis. | The application fails to show any need for immediate funding or the need for funding is not directly related to COVID- 19. |  |
| **Population(s) Served**  | The application provides specific and full description of the key populations served through this grant.  | The application has vague details of the population to be served. It is not clear of the clients that will be served.  | The application provides no description of the population to be served.  |  |
| **Plans for Project Evaluation and Participant Assessment** | Proposal includes strong evaluation & assessment and evidence of use of results. | Proposal includes minimal opportunity to assess participants or evaluate the project. | Proposal does not include any methods to assess participants or evaluate the project. |  |
| **Use of Funding** | Application clearly states how funding will be used to carry out the research project. | Details are offered about how funding will be used, but it could be more thorough. | Little to no information is offered about how funding will be used. |  |
| **Budget**  | Budget outlines in detail how the money will be spent including categories, costs, quantities, specific uses. Adheres to CARES Act guidelines. | Budget gives information but is lacking specific details related to the proposed project. Adheres to CARES Act guidelines. | Budget is missing or does not align with the proposed project or does not adhere to CARES Act guidelines. |  |
| **Cost Effective** | This proposal provides a good explanation for the financial investment and provides excellent benefit for cost. | This proposal provides an average explanation for the financial investment and benefit for cost. | This proposal offers a poor explanation for the financial investment and low benefit for cost. |  |
|  |  |  | **Total Score:** |  |